



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,447	12/23/1999	ROBERT BEDICHEK	TRANS18	7416
7:	590 08/25/2003			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			EXAMINER	
THIRD FLOOI			DAY, HERNG DER	
SAN JOSE, CA	95113		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2123	-
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			14
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	09/471,447	BEDICHEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Herng-der Day	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t ill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>une 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims	±х раπе Quayle, 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-32 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 1999 is/an			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	, , , , ,	disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
 a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Supplemental Amendment (paper # 13) to Office Action dated March 25, 2003 (paper # 9), mailed June 16, 2003.
- 1-1. Claims 1-15 have been cancelled; claims 16-32 have been added; claims 16-32 are pending.
- 1-2. Claims 16-32 have been examined and claims 16-32 have been rejected.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to for the following reasons. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- **2-1.** As described in the specification, lines 14-15 of page 4, "The microprocessor pictured is described in detail in U. S. patent 5,832,205". Therefore, Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- **2-2.** The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:
 - (a) microprocessor 11, as described in line 13 of page 4.
- 2-3. Figure 4 has not been referenced in the "Detailed Description" of the specification.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/471,447 Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 16-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelly et al., U.S. Patent 5,832,205 issued November 3, 1998 (IDS, paper # 11, No. C).
- **4-1.** Regarding claim 16, Kelly et al. disclose a method of determining validity of a translated instruction comprising:
- a) starting execution of a first host instruction translated from a first target instruction, wherein said first host instruction is linked from a second host instruction translated from a second target instruction, and wherein a first condition of a target system state required by said first host instruction holds (execution, column 23, lines 1-29);
- b) testing a second condition of said target system state to determine the validity of said first host instruction (condition of the T bit, column 23, lines 7-9);
- c) executing said first host instruction if said second condition holds; and d) generating an exception if said second condition does not hold (if an attempt to write to the target address occurs, the attempt generates an exception, column 23, lines 29-35).
- **4-2.** Regarding claim 17, Kelly et al. further disclose said first condition is based on an address consistency check of said second host instruction (condition of the A/N bit, column 23, lines 10-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/471,447 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

4-3. Regarding claim 18, Kelly et al. further disclose said b) comprises performing an address consistency check of said first host instruction (condition of the T bit, column 23, lines 7-9).

- **4-4.** Regarding claim 19, Kelly et al. further disclose said b) comprises performing an address consistency check of said first host instruction (condition of the T bit, column 23, lines 7-9).
- **4-5.** Regarding claim 20, Kelly et al. further disclose said d) further comprises invalidating said first host instruction (invalidated, column 23, lines 32-35).
- **4-6.** Regarding claim 21, Kelly et al. further disclose said d) further comprises removing said link between said first host instruction and said second host instruction (committed original state may be recalled, column 12, line 66, through column 13, line 5).
- **4-7.** Regarding claim 22, Kelly et al. further disclose said d) further comprises creating a new translation of said first target instruction (generation of new translations, column 13, line 65, through column 14, line 2).
- **4-8.** Regarding claim 23, Kelly et al. further disclose said d) further comprises interpreting said first target instruction (uncommitted memory stores may be dumped, column 12, line 66, through column 13, line 5).
- **4-9.** Regarding claim 24, Kelly et al. disclose a method of determining validity of a translated instruction comprising:
- a) performing a first address consistency check of a first host instruction made from a first target instruction to verify that said first host instruction is valid (condition of the T bit, column 23, lines 7-9);
 - b) executing said first host instruction (execution, column 23, lines 1-29);

Art Unit: 2123

c) determining whether a second host instruction made from a second target instruction and that is linked from said first host instruction can be safely executed without a second address consistency check; and d) executing said second host instruction without performing said second address consistency check if safe (committed to memory, column 17, lines 1-39).

Page 5

- **4-10.** Regarding claim 25, Kelly et al. further disclose comprising:
- e) performing said second address consistency check if said determination is that it is unsafe to execute said second host instruction without said second address consistency check (condition of the T bit, column 23, lines 7-9); and
 - f) executing said second host instruction (execution, column 23, lines 1-29).
- **4-11.** Regarding claim 26, Kelly et al. further disclose said c) is implied from said first address consistency check (the condition of the T bit indicating whether a translation has been accomplished for the target instruction is detected, column 23, lines 7-9).
- **4-12.** Regarding claim 27, Kelly et al. further disclose multiple target instructions are translated to host instructions (subsequent target instructions, column 23, lines 15-18) and wherein said c) comprises determining whether any of said target instructions reside on different pages of memory (Compare, Fig 11).
- **4-13.** Regarding claim 28, Kelly et al. further disclose multiple target instructions are translated to host instructions (subsequent target instructions, column 23, lines 15-18) and wherein said c) comprises determining whether any of said target instructions reside on different pages of memory (Compare, Fig 11).
- **4-14.** Regarding claim 29, Kelly et al. disclose a method of linking translated instructions comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/471,447 Page 6

Art Unit: 2123

a) translating a first target instruction to a first host instruction (first three instructions in the sample, column 25);

b) determining that said first host instruction is to be linked to a second host instruction translated from a second target instruction (next three instructions in the sample, column 25); and

c) providing an address consistency check for said first host instruction (instructions chkl and chku in the sample, column 26).

4-15. Regarding claim 30, Kelly et al. further disclose:

said b) comprises determining at the time said translation of said first target instruction is made that said first and second host instructions are to be linked (sample, column 26); and said c) comprises:

- c1) linking said second host instruction to said first host instruction (sample, column 26; adding the second host instruction "mov" after the first host instruction "mov"); and
- c2) including code for performing said address consistency check as a part of said first host instruction (sample, column 26; including instructions "chkl" and "chku" to the first host instruction "mov").
- **4-16.** Regarding claim 31, Kelly et al. further disclose:

said b) comprises determining after said translation of said first target instruction is made that said first and second host instructions are to be linked (sample, column 25); and said c) comprises:

c1) linking said second host instruction to code for performing said address consistency check (sample, column 26; attaching the second host instruction "mov" to instructions "chkl" and "chku"); and

Art Unit: 2123

c2) linking said code for performing said address consistency check to said first host instruction (sample, column 26; attaching instructions "chkl" and "chku" to the first host instruction "mov").

4-17. Regarding claim 32, Kelly et al. further disclose:

said b) comprises determining after said translation of said first target instruction is made that said first and second host instructions are to be linked (sample, column 25); and said c) comprises:

- c1) linking said second host instruction to said first host instruction (sample, column 26; adding the second host instruction "mov" after the first host instruction "mov"); and
- c2) incorporating code for performing said address consistency check into said first host instruction (sample, column 26; incorporating instructions "chkl" and "chku" to the first host instruction "mov").

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

MJ

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Herng-der Day whose telephone number is (703) 305-5269. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin J Teska can be reached on (703) 305-9704. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2123

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Herng-der Day August 22, 2003

PRIMARY ON OCY CENTER 2 IN

Page 8